

Proton-Promoted and Anion-Enhanced Epoxidation of Olefins by Hydrogen Peroxide in the Presence of Nonheme Manganese Catalysts

Chengxia Miao,[†] Bin Wang,[‡] Yong Wang,[†] Chungu Xia,[†] Yong-Min Lee,[‡] Wonwoo Nam,^{*,‡} and Wei Sun^{*,†}


[†]State Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

[‡]Department of Chemistry and Nano Science, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 03760, Korea

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: We report a remarkable Brønsted acid effect in the epoxidation of olefins by nonheme manganese catalysts and aqueous hydrogen peroxide. More specifically, a mononuclear nonheme manganese complex bearing a tetradentate N4 ligand, Mn^{II}(Dbp-MCP)(OTf)₂ (Dbp-MCP = (1*R*,2*R*)-*N,N'*-dimethyl-*N,N'*-bis((*R*)-(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-phenyl)-2-pyridinylmethyl)cyclohexane-1,2-diamine; OTf⁻ = CF₃SO₃⁻), is a highly efficient catalyst in the epoxidation of olefins by aqueous H₂O₂ in the presence of H₂SO₄ (1–3 mol %).

The yields of epoxide products as well as the chemo- and enantioselectivities increase dramatically in the presence of H₂SO₄; no formation of epoxides is observed in the absence of H₂SO₄. In addition, the product yields and enantioselectivities are dependent significantly on the manganese catalysts and Brønsted acids. The catalytic epoxidation of olefins by other oxidants, such as peracids, alkyl hydroperoxides, and iodosylbenzene, is also affected by the presence of H₂SO₄; product yields and enantioselectivities are high and similar irrespective of the oxidants in the presence of H₂SO₄, suggesting that a common epoxidizing intermediate is generated in the reactions of [Mn^{II}(Dbp-MCP)]²⁺ and the oxidants. Mechanistic studies, performed with ¹⁸O-labeled water (H₂¹⁸O) and cumyl hydroperoxide, reveal that a high-valent manganese-oxo species is formed as an epoxidizing intermediate via O–O bond heterolysis of Mn–OOH(R) species. The role of H₂SO₄ is proposed to facilitate the formation of a high-valent Mn-oxo species and to increase the oxidizing power and enantioselectivity of the Mn-oxo oxidant in olefin epoxidation reactions. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations support experimental results such as the formation of a Mn(V)-oxo species as an epoxidizing intermediate.



INTRODUCTION

Development of highly efficient and selective oxidation reactions under mild conditions is of current interest in the communities of synthetic organic, oxidation, and bioinorganic/biomimetic chemistry. In particular, catalytic (asymmetric) epoxidation reactions using earth-abundant transition metals (e.g., Fe and Mn) and environmentally benign oxidants (e.g., H₂O₂) have been attracting much attention recently to develop oxidation methods with low cost and low toxicity considerations, since epoxides are highly useful building blocks for a number of subsequent transformations in organic synthesis.^{1,2} In this context, bioinspired catalytic olefin epoxidation reactions utilizing nonheme iron and manganese complexes bearing polydentate *N*-donor ligands as catalysts and aqueous H₂O₂ as a terminal oxidant have been intensively investigated recently.² As a result, a number of highly efficient catalytic epoxidation reactions using the biomimetic nonheme iron and manganese catalysts and aqueous H₂O₂ oxidant have been reported;^{3–8} a common feature in these reactions is the use of carboxylic acids as additives to improve the catalytic activity and stereo- and enantioselectivities. More recently, Costas and co-workers


reported a highly enantioselective epoxidation of α -substituted styrenes by a nonheme iron complex and H₂O₂ in the presence of *N*-protected amino acids.⁹ Although the role(s) of the carboxylic acids in the nonheme metal complex-catalyzed epoxidation reactions by H₂O₂ has yet to be understood, it has been proposed that protonation of the hydroperoxide ligand by the coordinated carboxylic acid in metal-hydroperoxo intermediates facilitates the O–O bond cleavage, generating high-valent metal-oxo species as reactive epoxidizing intermediates.⁷ Indeed, involvement of the high-valent metal-oxo intermediates in the catalytic epoxidation reactions has been supported experimentally and theoretically.^{7,8,10}

In biomimetic studies, it has been shown that the O–O bond activation of metal-hydroperoxo species is affected markedly by the protonation of the hydroperoxide ligand (Scheme 1A), resulting in the generation of highly reactive metal-oxo species as active oxidants in oxidation reactions.¹¹ Further, it has been demonstrated recently that the reactivity of metal-oxo

Received: November 5, 2015

Published: December 31, 2015

Scheme 1. Proton Effects on (A) the Hydroperoxide O–O Bond Cleavage and (B) the Reactivity of Metal-Oxo Species



complexes in oxidation reactions increased significantly by binding of Lewis and Brønsted acids (Scheme 1B), resulting from the change of the reduction potentials and oxidizing power of the metal-oxo complexes.^{12,13} The effects of Lewis and Brønsted acids have also been demonstrated in catalytic oxidation reactions.¹⁴ Thus, we have envisioned that protons play important roles *not only* in the formation of metal-oxo species via the O–O bond cleavage of metal-hydroperoxide species, *but also* in the increase of the reactivity of the metal-oxo species in the olefin epoxidation reactions by nonheme metal catalysts and H₂O₂ (Scheme 1).

As alluded above, understanding the effects of protons on the catalytic oxidation reactions by nonheme metal catalysts and H₂O₂ and the reactivity of nonheme metal-oxo complexes in oxidation reactions is of importance in developing efficient catalytic systems.^{2,12} We therefore attempted the epoxidation of olefins by aqueous H₂O₂ catalyzed by mononuclear nonheme manganese complexes in the presence of Brønsted acids. Herein, we report for the first time that the epoxidation of olefins by mononuclear nonheme manganese complexes and aqueous H₂O₂ is affected dramatically by the presence of a catalytic amount of sulfuric acid; the yields of epoxide products as well as the chemo- and enantioselectivities are very high in the presence of H₂SO₄, whereas no formation of epoxides is observed in the absence of H₂SO₄. We also show that both the proton and anions of Brønsted acids play key roles in determining the catalytic activity and chemo- and enantioselectivities of the manganese catalysts in the olefin epoxidation reactions. Detailed mechanistic aspects, such as the nature of the epoxidizing intermediate and the O–O bond cleavage mechanism, are discussed as well.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Characterization of Mn^{II}(Dbp-MCP)(OTf)₂ (1). A linear tetradentate N4 ligand, (1*R*,2*R*)-*N,N'*-dimethyl-*N,N'*-bis((*R*)-(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-phenyl)-2-pyridinylmethyl)cyclohexane-1,2-diamine (Dbp-MCP; see Experimental Section and Figure S1 in Supporting Information (SI) for NMR spectra), was prepared by introducing a 3,5-di-*tert*-butylbenzene group into the 2-pyridinylmethyl positions of (*R,R*)-MCP (MCP = *N,N'*-dimethyl-*N,N'*-bis(2-pyridinylmethyl)cyclohexane-1,2-diamine), and the Mn^{II}(Dbp-MCP)(OTf)₂ complex (1, see Figure 1A) was synthesized by reacting equimolar amounts of Dbp-MCP and Mn(OTf)₂ (OTf[−] = CF₃SO₃[−]) under an Ar atmosphere in CH₃CN (see Experimental Section and Figure S2 in SI for detailed experimental procedures).^{5a} The X-ray crystal structure reveals that the Dbp-MCP ligand adopts a *cis-α* topology coordinating a Mn atom (Figure 1A; Tables S1 and S2 in SI for crystallographic data).^{15,16}

Catalytic Activities of Manganese(II) Complexes (1–4) in the Epoxidation of Olefins. The manganese complex 1 was tested as a catalyst in the epoxidation of cyclooctene by aqueous H₂O₂ in the absence and presence of Brønsted acids. First, when the reaction was carried out in the absence of





Figure 1. (A) ORTEP-III diagram of **1** (CCDC-917216), showing the 20% probability displacement ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity (see Tables S1 and S2 in SI for crystallographic data). Atom colors are aquamarine for Mn, blue for N, red for O, gray for C, yellow for S, and pink for F. (B–E) Schematic structures of (B) Mn^{II}(Dbp-MCP)(OTf)₂ (**1**), (C) Mn^{II}(P-MCP)(OTf)₂ (**2**, P-MCP = *N,N'*-dimethyl-*N,N'*-bis(phenyl-2-pyridinylmethyl)cyclohexane-1,2-diamine), (D) Mn^{II}(MCP)(OTf)₂ (**3**), and (E) Mn^{II}(PDP)(OTf)₂ (**4**, PDP = *N,N'*-bis(2-pyridinylmethyl)-2,2'-bipyrrolidine).







Brønsted acids, no epoxide formation was observed (Table 1, entry 1). Interestingly, when the identical reaction was carried out in the presence of a small amount of H₂SO₄ (i.e., 6 equiv to **1**) (eq 1), we observed the formation of cyclooctene oxide with



a high yield (Table 1, entry 2). This result indicates that the H₂SO₄ additive plays an important role in generating an efficient epoxidizing species in the reaction of **1** and H₂O₂ (vide infra). We also found that the product yields obtained in the olefin epoxidation were dependent on the Brønsted acids added, such as H₃PO₄, HClO₄, CF₃SO₃H, HCl, and acetic acid (Table 1, entries 3–7), indicating that the anion of Brønsted acids is an important factor that controls the catalytic activity of **1**. It is noteworthy that the epoxidation reaction using acetic acid (e.g., carboxylic acid) afforded only a small amount of epoxide product (Table 1, entry 7).^{3–8} In addition, no epoxidation occurred when an inorganic salt containing SO₄^{2−} (e.g., Na₂SO₄) was used instead of H₂SO₄ (Table 1, entry 8). However, a significant amount of epoxide product (73%) was obtained in the reaction using NaHSO₄ as an additive (Table 1, entry 9). The latter results further demonstrate that proton is an essential component for the catalytic epoxidation reaction by **1** and H₂O₂.

We also examined the catalyst effect in the olefin epoxidation reactions. When the epoxidation of cyclooctene by H₂O₂ was carried out using simple Mn salts (e.g., MnSO₄ or Mn(OTf)₂) in the absence and presence of H₂SO₄, no formation of epoxide

Table 1. Catalytic Epoxidation of Olefins by **1 and H₂O₂ in the Presence of H₂SO₄ or under Other Reaction Conditions^a**

entry	substrate	additive	yield (%) ^{b,c}
1		none	NR
2		H ₂ SO ₄	91(3)
3		H ₃ PO ₄	Trace
4		HClO ₄	17(3)
5		CF ₃ SO ₃ H	30(4)
6		HCl	NR
7		AcOH	8(2)
8		Na ₂ SO ₄	NR
9		NaHSO ₄	73(3)
10		H ₂ SO ₄	87(3)
11		H ₂ SO ₄	92(4)
12		H ₂ SO ₄	90(2)
13		H ₂ SO ₄	45(4)
14		H ₂ SO ₄	90(3)


^aReaction conditions: olefin (0.25 mmol), catalyst (**1**, 0.50 mol %), and additive (3.0 mol %) in CH₃CN (1.0 mL) were added into a Schlenk tube at -20 °C. Then, 50% H₂O₂ (1.5 equiv) in CH₃CN (0.50 mL) was added to the reaction solution over a period of 1 h using a syringe pump. ^bYields were obtained by GC analysis using decane or nitrobenzene as an internal standard. NR stands for no reaction. ^cProduct yields are based on the amounts of substrate used.

was observed (Table S3 in SI, entries 1–4). Then, to investigate the structural effect of manganese catalysts, the epoxidation of cyclooctene by H₂O₂ was carried out using several manganese catalysts in the presence of H₂SO₄ (2–4; see Figure 1). In these reactions, the yields of cyclooctene oxide were 76% for **2**, 37% for **3**, and 11% for **4** (Table S3 in SI, entries 5–7); the product yields are much lower than that obtained in the reaction with **1** as a catalyst. These results indicate that the structure of the manganese catalysts is another important factor that determines the efficiency of the olefin epoxidation by H₂O₂. Taken together, **1** is a highly efficient catalyst in the epoxidation of olefins by aqueous H₂O₂, and the efficiency of the olefin epoxidation by the Mn catalyst and H₂O₂ is determined by the presence of both proton and SO₄²⁻ anion (i.e., H₂SO₄) and the structure of the Mn catalysts.

The catalytic activity of **1** was then examined in the epoxidation of other olefins, such as cyclic olefins (e.g., cyclohexene), *cis*- and *trans*-olefins, and terminal olefin (Table 1, entries 10–14). In the epoxidation of cyclohexene, cyclohexene oxide was the sole product with no formation of allylic oxidation products, such as cyclohexenol and cyclohexenone (Table 1, entry 10), suggesting that no autoxidation

is involved in the epoxidation of olefins by **1** and H₂O₂ in the presence of H₂SO₄. In the epoxidation of cycloheptene, cycloheptene oxide was yielded as the sole product (Table 1, entry 11). We also found that the olefin epoxidation by **1** and H₂O₂ was highly stereospecific; *cis*- and *trans*-2-heptenes were oxidized to their corresponding *cis*- and *trans*-2-heptene oxides, respectively, and no epimerized products were detected in these reactions (Table 1, entries 12 and 13); it is notable that the product yield in the *trans*-2-heptene epoxidation was lower than that in the *cis*-2-heptene epoxidation. Finally, 1-octene, which is a less reactive terminal olefin,¹⁷ was also oxidized to the corresponding epoxide with a high yield (Table 1, entry 14). The results described above demonstrate that a highly reactive and stereoselective epoxidizing intermediate is generated in the reaction of **1** and H₂O₂ in the presence of H₂SO₄.

Asymmetric Epoxidation by **1.** Since **1** is a chiral complex, this manganese catalyst was examined in the asymmetric epoxidation of olefins by H₂O₂ in the presence of H₂SO₄. As the results are shown in Table 2, the epoxidation of chalcone derivatives by **1** (0.20 mol %) and H₂O₂ (1.5 equiv to substrate) in the presence of H₂SO₄ (5 equiv to **1**) afforded high yields of epoxide products with excellent enantioselectivities (>97% enantiomeric excess (*ee*) values; Table 2, entries 1, 3 and 4; see also Figure S3 in SI). In the case of a chalcone derivative with an electron-donating substituent (e.g., *p*-Me), a moderate yield of epoxide product with an 86% *ee* value was obtained (Table 2, entry 5). Interestingly, in a gram scale reaction (eq 2), we obtained a similar product yield (93%) with



an excellent enantioselectivity (97%), indicating that the present epoxidation protocol can be adapted for large scale applications. **2** (see Figure 1C), which is an analogue of **1**, also provided an excellent *ee* value (95%), but the product yield was lower than that obtained in the reaction with **1** (Table 2, entry 2). Similarly, **3** and **4** afforded low product yields, but high *ee* values (e.g., ~90%) were obtained under the optimized reaction conditions (Table S4, entries 13 and 14). When H₂SO₄ was omitted or replaced by other Brønsted acids, such as H₃PO₄, HClO₄ and CF₃SO₃H, in the epoxidation of chalcone, the product yields and *ee* values were much lower (e.g., <50%) than those obtained with H₂SO₄ (Table S4 in SI), demonstrating again that the SO₄²⁻ anion is a vital component determining the enantioselectivity of the Mn catalyst as well as the catalytic activity.

In the case of chromenes, the product yields were moderate, but the enantioselectivities were high (Table 2, entries 6 and 7; Figure S4 in SI). In the epoxidation of methyl cinnamate, ethyl cinnamate, and benzyl cinnamate, we also obtained high *ee* values with moderate epoxide yields (Table 2, entries 8–10; Figure S5 in SI). In contrast, the *ee* values were moderate in the epoxidation of styrene and *cis*- β -methylstyrene (Table 2, entries 11 and 12). Thus, the results described above clearly indicate that **1** is an efficient enantioselective catalyst and both the catalytic activity and the enantioselectivity of **1** in the asymmetric epoxidation reactions by H₂O₂ are determined by the presence of the proton and SO₄²⁻ anion.

Table 2. Asymmetric Epoxidation of Olefins by **1 and H₂O₂ in the Presence of H₂SO₄^a**

entry	substrate	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	R = H	94(3)	97(2)
2 ^b	R = H	57(2)	95(2)
3	R = <i>o</i> -Cl	89(4)	98(2)
4	R = <i>m</i> -Cl	90(3)	98(2)
5	R = <i>p</i> -Me	61(3)	86(3)
6	R = CN	56(4)	92(2)
7	R = NO ₂	45(4)	94(3)
8 ^c	R = OMe	48(4)	95(3)
9 ^c	R = OEt	55(4)	95(2)
10 ^c	R = OBn	57(5)	97(2)
11 ^d		80(3)	60(2)
12 ^d		58(3)	66(3)

^aReaction conditions: olefin (0.25 mmol), **1** (0.20 mol %), and H₂SO₄ (1.0 mol %) in CH₃CN (1.0 mL) were added into the Schlenk tube at -20 °C. Then, 50% H₂O₂ (1.5 equiv to substrate) in CH₃CN (0.50 mL) was added to the reaction solution over a period of 1 h using a syringe pump. Total reaction time was 2.0 h. Isolated yields are reported, and the ee values were determined by HPLC with a Daicel OD-H, OJ-H or IC column. ^b**2** instead of **1** was used as a catalyst. ^cReaction was carried out with **1** (1.0 mol %) and H₂SO₄ (3.0 mol %) at 0 °C. ^d**1** (0.50 mol %) and H₂SO₄ (3.0 mol %) were used, and the yields and ee values were determined by GC.

The asymmetric olefin epoxidation by **1** was also investigated using various oxidants, such as *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (*m*-CPBA), *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (*t*-BuOOH), cumyl hydroperoxide (Cumyl-OOH), and iodosylbenzene (PhIO), in the absence and presence of H₂SO₄. As we have observed in the H₂O₂ reactions (vide supra), not only the product yields but also the ee values were affected significantly by the presence of H₂SO₄ in the epoxidation of chalcone by **1** and the oxidants (Table 3); both the product yields and the ee values were low in the absence of H₂SO₄, even in the reactions of single oxygen atom donors such as *m*-CPBA and PhIO. Most importantly, the ee values were virtually the same irrespective of the oxidants in the presence of H₂SO₄ (Table 2, entry 1 and Table 3, entries 2, 4, 6, and 8), suggesting that a common epoxidizing intermediate (e.g., a high-valent Mn-oxo species) was generated


Table 3. Asymmetric Epoxidation of Chalcone by Various Oxidants Catalyzed by **1 in the Absence and Presence of H₂SO₄^a**

entry	oxidant	H ₂ SO ₄	yield (%) ^b	ee (%) ^c
1	<i>m</i> -CPBA	no	38(3)	37(3)
2		yes	87(3)	95(2)
3	<i>t</i> -BuOOH	no	18(2)	45(3)
4		yes	56(3)	97(2)
5	Cumyl-OOH	no	8(2)	30(3)
6		yes	33(3)	96(2)
7	PhIO	no	25(3)	68(4)
8		yes	78(4)	97(2)

^aReaction conditions: oxidant (1.2 equiv) was added into a reaction solution containing chalcone (0.25 mmol) and **1** (0.20 mol %) in the absence and presence of H₂SO₄ (1.0 mol %) in CH₃CN (1.5 mL) at -20 °C. Total reaction time was 2.0 h. ^bIsolated yields are reported. ^cThe ee values were determined by HPLC equipped with a Daicel OD-H column.

in the reactions of H₂O₂, *m*-CPBA, *t*-BuOOH, Cumyl-OOH, and PhIO in the presence of H₂SO₄ (vide infra).

Mechanistic Studies to Elucidate the Role(s) of H₂SO₄. We then investigated the nature of the epoxidizing intermediate formed in the reaction of **1** and H₂O₂ in the presence of H₂SO₄. It has been proposed that the reactions of nonheme iron(II) and manganese(II) complexes bearing N₄ ligands, such as TPA^{7a} and PDP,^{7b} with H₂O₂ in the presence of carboxylic acids generate Fe(V)- and Mn(V)-oxo species, respectively, via O–O bond heterolysis of presumed M(III)-OOH precursors (Scheme 2A).¹⁰ We therefore conducted mechanistic studies to


Scheme 2. (A) Proposed Mechanism for the Formation of Metal-Oxo Intermediates in the Reaction of a Nonheme Metal(II) Complex and H₂O₂; (B) Heterolytic and Homolytic O–O Bond Cleavage Mechanisms in the Presence and Absence of H₂SO₄, Respectively, in the Reaction of **1 and ROOH**

elucidate the nature of the epoxidizing intermediate and the O–O bond cleavage mechanism in the reactions of **1** and ROOH (e.g., R = H and Cumyl). First, we carried out the reaction of **1** with cumyl hydroperoxide and analyzed product(s) derived from the decomposition of the cumyl hydroperoxide to distinguish homolytic vs heterolytic O–O bond cleavage pathways (Scheme 2B).¹⁸ In this reaction, cumyl alcohol was produced quantitatively in the presence of H₂SO₄ (see Figures S6 and S7 in SI), suggesting that a Mn(III)-alkylperoxo species is converted to a Mn(V)-oxo species via a heterolytic O–O bond cleavage pathway (Scheme 2B, pathway a). On the contrary, acetophenone was yielded as a major product when the reaction was performed in the absence of H₂SO₄ (~90% yield based on the amount of Cumyl-OOH),

indicating that O–O bond homolysis is a predominant pathway (Scheme 2B, pathway *b*). We therefore conclude that one possible role of H₂SO₄ is to control the O–O bond cleavage pathway to form a Mn-oxo intermediate (vide infra), such as the heterolytic and homolytic O–O bond cleavage of Mn-OOH in the presence and absence of H₂SO₄, respectively (Scheme 2B, pathways *a* and *b*).

To support the intermediacy of a high-valent Mn-oxo species in the catalytic epoxidation reactions, we carried out an ¹⁸O-labeled water experiment in the epoxidation of styrene by **1** and H₂O₂ in the presence of H₂SO₄ (Scheme 3A, pathways *a* and

Scheme 3. (A) Proposed Mechanism for the Use of ¹⁸O-Labeled Water Experiment to Probe the Intermediacy of a High-Valent Mn-Oxo Species in the Catalytic Epoxidation of Olefins by **1** and H₂O₂; (B) Reaction Scheme Showing the Source of Oxygen Found in Epoxide Product



c).^{4c,10b,12a,19} In some cases, although a high-valent metal-oxo species is generated as an epoxidizing intermediate, we would not observe ¹⁸O-incorporation from H₂¹⁸O into the epoxide product due to a slow ¹⁸O-exchange between a metal-oxo species and H₂¹⁸O (Scheme 3A, competition between pathways *a* and *b*).^{4c,10b,19} In the present study, a significant amount of ¹⁸O-incorporation from H₂¹⁸O into the styrene oxide was observed in the epoxidation of styrene by **1** and H₂O₂ in the presence of H₂SO₄ (~30% based on 95% ¹⁸O-enriched H₂¹⁸O) (Figure S8 in SI), demonstrating unambiguously that a high-valent Mn-oxo species was indeed formed as an epoxidizing intermediate in the reaction of **1** and H₂O₂ in the presence of H₂SO₄. This result is in sharp contrast to the previous reports in the oxidation of organic substrates by H₂O₂ catalyzed by nonheme manganese complexes in the presence of excess amounts of carboxylic acids; no ¹⁸O-incorporation from H₂¹⁸O into the products in the latter reactions was ascribed to the blocking of H₂¹⁸O coordination to the Mn site by the carboxylic acids.^{4c,5c} In a separate study, when ¹⁸O-labeled cumyl hydroperoxide (Cumyl-¹⁸O¹⁸OH) was used as a terminal oxidant, the epoxide and cumyl alcohol products contained the same amount of ¹⁸O derived from the Cumyl-¹⁸O¹⁸OH oxidant (Figures S8 and S9 in SI), demonstrating that the source of oxygen atom in the products was the cumyl hydroperoxide oxidant, not molecular oxygen (Scheme 3B).

Consistent with the experimental results, density functional theory (DFT) calculations on the formation of Mn(V)-oxo complex (**6**) from its precursor, Mn(III)-OOH complex (**5**), revealed that the conversion of **5** to **6** occurs via a heterolytic O–O bond cleavage step (Figures 2 and 3; see also Tables S5 and S6 in SI). The difference between the ground state of Mn(III)-OOH in quintet spin state and the triplet state is 7.0




Figure 2. Energy profiles (in kcal mol⁻¹) for the conversion of Mn(III)-OOH (**5**) to Mn(V)-Oxo (**6**). Energies were calculated at the level of UB3LYP/def2-TZVPP//TZVP(MnOOH, N, HSO₄⁻), 6-31G*(C and H in the nonheme ligand). Zero-point energies (ZPE) and solvation were taken into account.

kcal mol⁻¹, which is consistent with the previous theoretical and experimental results of [(TMC)Mn(III)-OOH]²⁺ (TMC = 1,4,8,11-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetra-decane).²⁰ During the O–O bond elongation, a spin inversion occurs that leads the reaction to occur on a triplet transition state (³TS₅₆); thus, the O–O bond cleavage shows *two-state reactivity*. The spin of OH group in the Mn–O–OH moiety is nearly zero (−0.07) (Table S6 in SI) on ³TS₅₆, and the hydrogen-removed SO₄⁻ moiety contains no spin on ³TS₅₆ either; thus, O–O cleavage is heterolytic. The nascent manganese-oxo species **6** lies 1.7 kcal mol⁻¹ higher than **5** and is a Mn(V)-oxo species.

CONCLUSION

A large number of reports have been published using carboxylic acids as additives to improve the catalytic activity and enantioselectivity of metal complexes in the (asymmetric) epoxidation of olefins by aqueous H₂O₂.^{3–8} In the present study, we have reported an unexpected novel effect of sulfuric acid in the catalytic (asymmetric) epoxidation of olefins by aqueous H₂O₂ catalyzed by a nonheme manganese complex bearing a tetradentate N4 ligand; the product yields and *ee* values were exceedingly high when both the proton and SO₄²⁻ anion were present in the catalytic reactions. The role(s) of H₂SO₄ was proposed to facilitate the formation of a high-valent Mn-oxo species via heterolytic O–O bond cleavage of a presumed Mn(III)-OOH precursor and to increase the oxidizing power and enantioselectivity of the Mn-oxo oxidant in olefin epoxidation reactions. The involvement of the Mn-oxo species as an epoxidizing intermediate, which was formed via O–O bond heterolysis in the presence of protons, was evidenced experimentally by carrying out isotopically labeled water experiments. In addition, there should be a proton effect on the increase of the reactivity of the Mn-oxo species in the epoxidation of olefins, as we have demonstrated in our previous studies on the effects of Lewis and Brønsted acids on the oxidation of organic substrates by nonheme iron- and manganese-oxo complexes.^{12a,13} We have also shown that the enantioselectivity increased dramatically by the presence of SO₄²⁻ anion in the asymmetric epoxidation reactions. Future studies will be focused on understanding the exact role of the




Figure 3. Key geometric information on the transformation **5** to **6**. Values outside of parentheses are the triplet ones and values inside of parentheses are the quintet ones. Length is in Å unit and imaginary frequency is in cm^{-1} unit. Hydrogen atoms in the ligand are omitted for clarity. Calculations were done at the UB3LYP/B1 level in solvent.

SO_4^{2-} anion in increasing the enantioselectivity of the manganese catalyst, by synthesizing a manganese complex coordinating a sulfate anion and using it directly in stoichiometric asymmetric epoxidation reactions.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, and TCI with the maximum purity available, and used as received unless otherwise indicated. Solvents were dried according to published procedures and distilled under argon prior to use.²¹ All reactions were performed under an Ar atmosphere using dried solvent and standard Schlenk techniques unless otherwise noted. H_2^{18}O (95% ^{18}O -enriched) and $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (98% ^{18}O -enriched) were purchased from ICON Services Inc. (Summit, NJ, USA). Cumyl- $^{18}\text{O}^{18}\text{OH}$ (90% ^{18}O -enriched) was synthesized by reacting cumene and $^{18}\text{O}_2$ in hexane at 85 °C according to literature methods.²² *m*-CPBA (77%) was obtained from Aldrich and purified by washing with phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) and recrystallized from *n*-hexane/ether to remove the free carboxylic acid. Final purity was >98%. Ligands, (*R,R*)-MCP, (*R,R*)-P-MCP, and (*R,R*)-PDP, and their manganese complexes, $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{MCP})(\text{OTf})_2$, $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{P-MCP})(\text{OTf})_2$, and $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{PDP})(\text{OTf})_2$ were prepared according to the known methods.^{5a,17}

Synthesis of Dbp-MCP Ligand and Its Mn(II) Complex. Dbp-MCP ligand was prepared by introducing a 3,5-di-*tert*-butylbenzene group into the 2-pyridinylmethyl positions of (*R,R*)-MCP (Figure S2 in SI).^{5a} A solution of ligand (1.46 g, 5.0 mmol; Figure S2 in SI, ligand a) in Et_2O was added dropwise to a vigorously stirred Grignard reagent (50 mmol) in Et_2O (20 mL) at room temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Then, saturated NH_4Cl was added to quench the reaction, and the organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 3:1) to afford the corresponding ligand (Figure S2 in SI, ligand b) with 70% isolated yield. To a solution of ligand b (0.50 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added NaH (ca. 60% in oil, 60 mg, 2.5 mmol) at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h, CH_3I (2.1 mmol) was added to the resulting solution, and the reaction solution was stirred for 4 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by distilled water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 . The crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ EtOAc = 3:1) to afford the ligand Dbp-MCP with 84% isolated yield (0.29 g) (see Figure S2 in SI).

$\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{Dbp-MCP})(\text{OTf})_2$ (**1**) was prepared by adding $\text{Mn}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_2$ (0.10 mmol) to a solution of Dbp-MCP (0.10 mmol) under an Ar atmosphere in CH_3CN (1.0 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 day and diethyl ether was carefully layered on the solution over 2 days. The off-white crystals were isolated and washed with cold ether.

Dbp-MCP: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -78.1$ ($c = 0.1$, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) in ppm: $\delta = 8.48$ (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 2H), 8.06 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.79 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.28 (s, 4H), 7.23 (s, 2H), 7.16–7.10 (m, 2H), 4.86 (s, 2H), 2.29 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 2.18 (s, 6H), 1.86 (d, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 2H), 1.46 (s, 2H), 1.23 (s, 36H), 1.00 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 0.65 (t, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) in ppm: $\delta = 164.7$, 150.3, 148.9, 139.5, 136.5, 123.6, 121.8, 121.7, 121.0, 77.2, 76.0, 59.2, 34.8, 31.4, 25.3, 24.2. Dbp-MCP ligand: HRMS calcd. for $[\text{Dbp-MCP}+\text{H}]^+$: 701.5517, found: 701.5514. $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{Dbp-MCP})(\text{OTf})_2$ (**1**): HRMS calcd. for $[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{Dbp-MCP})(\text{OTf})_2]^+$: 904.4332, found: 904.4325. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{50}\text{H}_{68}\text{F}_6\text{MnN}_4\text{O}_6\text{S}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$: C 56.01, H 6.58, N 5.23. Found C 55.93, H 6.65, N 5.18.

Instrumentation. ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHz spectrometer. All NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature and were indirectly referenced to TMS using residual solvent signals as internal standards. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a Bruker Daltonics micrOTOF-Q II mass spectrometer with an ESI source. Elemental analyses were conducted with a Vario EL cube Elemental analyzer. Product analysis was performed with Agilent Technologies 6890N gas chromatograph (HP-5 column, 30 m \times 0.32 mm \times 0.25 μm film thickness) with a flame ionization detector and Thermo Finnigan (Austin, Texas, USA) FOCUS DSQ (dual stage quadrupole) mass spectrometer interfaced with Finnigan FOCUS gas chromatograph (GC-MS). High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis was performed on Waters-Breeze (2487 Dual λ Absorbance Detector and 1525 Binary HPLC Pump) or DIOMEX Pump Series P580 equipped with a variable wavelength UV-200 detector. Chiralpak OD-H, OJ-H and IC were purchased from Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd. HPLC equipped with SunFire C18 5 μm (4.6 mm \times 25 mm column) was also used. Column chromatography was generally performed on silica gel (200–300 mesh) and TLC inspections were on silica gel GF254 plates.

Catalytic Epoxidation of Olefins by 1. Reaction conditions for the catalytic epoxidation of simple olefins are as follows: substrate (0.25 mmol), $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{Dbp-MCP})(\text{OTf})_2$ (**1**) (1.5 mL, 1.3×10^{-3} mmol, 0.87 mg/mL in CH_3CN), and H_2SO_4 (0.30 mL, 7.5×10^{-3} mmol, 2.45 mg/mL in CH_3CN) were added to a Schlenk tube in CH_3CN (1.0 mL). Then, 1.5 equiv of 50% H_2O_2 (diluted in 0.50 mL of CH_3CN) was added dropwise via a syringe pump over 1 h. After the reaction mixture was stirred for the given time at -20 °C, the reaction solution was subjected to GC analysis to determine the product yield.

Asymmetric Epoxidation of Olefins by 1. Reaction conditions for the asymmetric epoxidation of olefins are as follows: substrate (0.25 mmol), $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{Dbp-MCP})(\text{OTf})_2$ (**1**) (0.60 mL, 5.0×10^{-4} mmol, 0.87 mg/mL in CH_3CN), and H_2SO_4 (0.10 mL, 2.5×10^{-3} mmol, 2.45 mg/mL in CH_3CN) were added to a Schlenk tube in CH_3CN (1.0 mL). Then, 1.5 equiv of 50% H_2O_2 (diluted in 0.50 mL

of CH₃CN) was added dropwise via a syringe pump over 1 h. After the reaction mixture was stirred for the given time at -20 °C, the reaction solution was subjected to silicon column or GC to determine the product yield. The *ee* values were determined by GC or HPLC equipped with chiral columns.

Gram-scale Reaction for the Asymmetric Epoxidation of Chalcone by 1. Chalcone (1.0 g, 4.8 mmol), **1** (0.20 mol %), and H₂SO₄ (1.0 mol %) were added into a Schlenk tube containing 20 mL CH₃CN, and the resulting mixture was cooled to -20 °C. Then, 50% H₂O₂ (1.5 equiv to substrate) was added dropwise over a period of 1 h via a syringe pump. The isolated yield was 93% and the *ee* value, which was determined by HPLC equipped with a Daicel OD-H, was 97%.

Decayed Products of Cumyl Hydroperoxide in Catalytic Reactions. The products obtained in the catalytic oxidation of chalcone (0.20 M) by **1** (2.0 mM) and cumyl hydroperoxide (20 mM) in the absence and presence of H₂SO₄ (10 mM) at room temperature were analyzed by HPLC equipped with SunFire C18 5 μm (4.6 mm × 25 mm column). Water and methanol were used as mobile phase. In the presence of H₂SO₄ (10 mM), cumyl alcohol (2-phenylpropan-2-ol) was formed as a sole product with >99% yield based on the amount of cumyl hydroperoxide used (see Figure S7 in SI), whereas, in the absence of H₂SO₄, acetophenone (~90% yield based on the amount of the cumyl hydroperoxide used) was formed as a major product with a small amount of cumyl alcohol (~10%).

¹⁸O-Labeled Experiments. An acetonitrile solution of H₂O₂ (40 mM) was added to a solution containing **1** (2.0 mM), H₂SO₄ (12 mM), styrene (2.0 × 10² mM), and H₂¹⁸O (20 μL) under inert atmosphere in CH₃CN at room temperature. The reaction solution was stirred for 1 min at room temperature and then analyzed by GC-MS. The ¹⁶O and ¹⁸O compositions in styrene oxide were analyzed by comparing the relative abundances at *m/z* = 119 for styrene oxide-¹⁶O and at *m/z* = 121 for styrene oxide-¹⁸O (Figure S8 in SI).

DFT Calculations. The spin-unrestricted B3LYP functional²³ was employed with two basis sets: (i) The TZVP basis set^{24a} for MnOOH, N and atoms of sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), and 6-31G* basis set for the rest atoms of the supporting ligand of **2**, which was used instead of **1** for more efficient calculations. This basis set is denoted as B1 and is used to optimize the transition states and minima; (ii) The Def2-TZVPP basis set^{24b} for all atoms, denoted as B2, used for single point energy corrections. Transition states were ascertained by vibrational frequency analysis to possess only one imaginary frequency. All optimizations and single point calculations were performed with solvation included using the self-consistent reaction field (SCRf) calculations in the conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM); the dielectric constant corresponding to acetonitrile solvent ($\epsilon = 35.688$) was used. DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 suite of quantum chemical packages.²⁵

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b11579.

Figures S1–S9, Tables S1–S6 and the coordinates of DFT calculated structures. (PDF)

Crystallographic data for Mn^{II}(Dbp-MCP)(OTf)₂, compound **1**. (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*wnnam@ewha.ac.kr

*wsun@licp.cas.cn

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge financial support of this work from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21473226 and

21133011 to W.S. and Grant No. 21173211 to Y.W.) and the NRF of Korea through CRI (NRF-2012RIA3A2048842 to W.N.) and GRL (NRF-2010-00353 to W.N.).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Lane, B. S.; Burgess, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2457. (b) Wong, O. A.; Shi, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 3958. (c) Enthaler, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 3317. (d) Que, L., Jr.; Tolman, W. B. *Nature* **2008**, *455*, 333. (e) De Faveri, G.; Ilyashenko, G.; Watkinson, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 1722. (f) Darwish, M.; Wills, M. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *2*, 243. (g) Srou, H.; Le Maux, P.; Chevance, S.; Simonneaux, G. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *257*, 3030. (h) Gopalaiah, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 3248. (i) Davis, R. L.; Stiller, J.; Naicker, T.; Jiang, H.; Jørgensen, K. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 7406. (j) Zhu, Y.; Wang, Q.; Cornwall, R. G.; Shi, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 8199. (k) Wang, C.; Yamamoto, H. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2015**, *10*, 2056.
- (2) (a) Fingerhut, A.; Serdyuk, O. V.; Tsogoeva, S. B. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 2042. (b) Oloo, W. N.; Que, L., Jr. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2612. (c) Cussó, O.; Ribas, X.; Costas, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 14285. (d) Codola, Z.; Lloret-Fillol, J.; Costas, M. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *59*, 447. (e) Gelalcha, F. G. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 261. (f) Bryliakov, K. P.; Talsi, E. P. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *276*, 73. (g) Lyakin, O. Y.; Ottenbacher, R. V.; Bryliakov, K. P.; Talsi, E. P. *Top. Catal.* **2013**, *56*, 939. (h) Talsi, E. P.; Bryliakov, K. P. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *256*, 1418.
- (3) White, M. C.; Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7194.
- (4) (a) Gómez, L.; Garcia-Bosch, I.; Company, A.; Sala, X.; Fontrodona, X.; Ribas, X.; Costas, M. *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, 5539. (b) Garcia-Bosch, I.; Company, A.; Fontrodona, X.; Ribas, X.; Costas, M. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 2095. (c) Garcia-Bosch, I.; Ribas, X.; Costas, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 348. (d) Garcia-Bosch, I.; Gómez, L.; Polo, A.; Ribas, X.; Costas, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 65. (e) Cussó, O.; Garcia-Bosch, I.; Ribas, X.; Lloret-Fillol, J.; Costas, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 14871. (f) Cussó, O.; Garcia-Bosch, I.; Font, D.; Ribas, X.; Lloret-Fillol, J.; Costas, M. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 6158.
- (5) (a) Wu, M.; Wang, B.; Wang, S.; Xia, C.; Sun, W. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3622. (b) Wu, M.; Miao, C.-X.; Wang, S.; Hu, X.; Xia, C.; Kühn, F. E.; Sun, W. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 3014. (c) Wang, B.; Wang, S.; Xia, C.; Sun, W. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 7332. (d) Wang, B.; Miao, C.; Wang, S.; Xia, C.; Sun, W. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 6750. (e) Wang, X.; Miao, C.; Wang, S.; Xia, C.; Sun, W. *ChemCatChem* **2013**, *5*, 2489.
- (6) (a) Ottenbacher, R. V.; Bryliakov, K. P.; Talsi, E. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 8620. (b) Ottenbacher, R. V.; Bryliakov, K. P.; Talsi, E. P. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 885. (c) Lyakin, O. Y.; Ottenbacher, R. V.; Bryliakov, K. P.; Talsi, E. P. *ACS Catal.* **2012**, *2*, 1196.
- (7) (a) Mas-Ballester, R.; Que, L., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15964. (b) Wang, Y.; Janardanan, D.; Usharani, D.; Han, K.; Que, L., Jr.; Shaik, S. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*, 1334. (c) Oloo, W. N.; Meier, K. K.; Wang, Y.; Shaik, S.; Münck, E.; Que, L., Jr. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 3046.
- (8) (a) Francis, M. B.; Jacobsen, E. N. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 937. (b) Anilkumar, G.; Bitterlich, B.; Gelalcha, F. G.; Tse, M. K.; Beller, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 289. (c) Gelalcha, F. G.; Bitterlich, B.; Anilkumar, G.; Tse, M. K.; Beller, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 7293. (d) Gelalcha, F. G.; Anilkumar, G.; Tse, M. K.; Brückner, A.; Beller, M. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 7687. (e) Yeung, H.-L.; Sham, K.-C.; Tsang, C.-S.; Lau, T.-C.; Kwong, H.-L. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 3801. (f) Bruynee, F.; Letondor, C.; Bastürk, B.; Gualandi, A.; Pordea, A.; Stoekli-Evans, H.; Neier, R. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 428. (g) Yazerski, V. A.; Orue, A.; Evers, T.; Kleijn, H.; Gebbink, R. J. M. K. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *3*, 2810. (h) Ansari, A.; Kaushik, A.; Rajaraman, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 4235. (i) Dai, W.; Li, J.; Li, G.; Yang, H.; Wang, L.; Gao, S. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 4138. (j) Maity, N. C.; Bera, P. K.; Ghosh, D.; Abdi, S. H. R.; Kureshy, R. I.; Khan, N. H.;

Bajaj, H. C.; Suresh, E. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4*, 208. (k) Dai, W.; Li, G.; Chen, B.; Wang, L.; Gao, S. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 904.

(9) Cussó, O.; Ribas, X.; Lloret-Fillol, J.; Costas, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 2729.

(10) (a) Prat, I.; Mathieson, J. S.; Güell, M.; Ribas, X.; Luis, J. M.; Cronin, L.; Costas, M. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 788. (b) Ottenbacher, R. V.; Samsonenko, D. G.; Talsi, E. P.; Bryliakov, K. P. *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 1599. (c) Lyakin, O. Y.; Zima, A. M.; Samsonenko, D. G.; Bryliakov, K. P.; Talsi, E. P. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 2702.

(11) (a) van Eldik, R. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *251*, 1649. (b) Nam, W.; Han, H. J.; Oh, S.-Y.; Lee, Y. J.; Choi, M.-H.; Han, S.-Y.; Kim, C.; Woo, S. K.; Shin, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8677. (c) Traylor, T. G.; Traylor, P. S. In *Active Oxygen in Biochemistry*; Valentine, J. S.; Foote, C. S.; Greenberg, A.; Liebman, J. F., Eds.; Blackie Academic and Professional, Chapman & Hall: London, 1995; pp 84–187. (d) Almarsson, O.; Bruice, T. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 4533. (e) Yang, S. J.; Nam, W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 606.

(12) (a) Nam, W.; Lee, Y.-M.; Fukuzumi, S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 1146. (b) Chen, Z.; Yin, G. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 1083. (c) Neu, H. M.; Baglia, R. A.; Goldberg, D. P. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2754.

(13) (a) Chen, J.; Yoon, H.; Lee, Y.-M.; Seo, M. S.; Sarangi, R.; Fukuzumi, S.; Nam, W. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 3624. (b) Park, J.; Lee, Y.-M.; Nam, W.; Fukuzumi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 5052. (c) Park, J.; Morimoto, Y.; Lee, Y.-M.; Nam, W.; Fukuzumi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 3903. (d) Park, J.; Lee, Y.-M.; Ohkubo, K.; Nam, W.; Fukuzumi, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 5806. (e) Park, J.; Morimoto, Y.; Lee, Y.-M.; Nam, W.; Fukuzumi, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 3618. (f) Yoon, H.; Lee, Y.-M.; Wu, X.; Cho, K.-B.; Sarangi, R.; Nam, W.; Fukuzumi, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 9186. (g) Chen, J.; Lee, Y.-M.; Davis, K. M.; Wu, X.; Seo, M. S.; Cho, K.-B.; Yoon, H.; Park, Y. J.; Fukuzumi, S.; Pushkar, Y. N.; Nam, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 6388. (h) Zaragoza, J. P. T.; Baglia, R. A.; Siegler, M. A.; Goldberg, D. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 6531. (i) Baglia, R. A.; Dürr, M.; Ivanoviæ-Burmazoviæ, I.; Goldberg, D. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 5893. (j) Leeladee, P.; Baglia, R. A.; Prokop, K. A.; Latifi, R.; de Visser, S. P.; Goldberg, D. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 10397.

(14) (a) Chen, Z.; Yang, L.; Choe, C.; Lv, Z.; Yin, G. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 1874. (b) Zhang, Z.; Coats, K. L.; Chen, Z.; Hubin, T. J.; Yin, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 11937. (c) Dong, L.; Wang, Y.; Lv, Y.; Chen, Z.; Mei, F.; Xiong, H.; Yin, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 5418. (d) Du, H.; Lo, P.-K.; Hu, Z.; Liang, H.; Lau, K.-C.; Wang, Y.-N.; Lam, W. W. Y.; Lau, T.-C. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 7143. (e) Taktak, S.; Ye, W.; Herrera, A. M.; Rybak-Akimova, E. V. *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 2929. (f) Yiu, S.; Man, W.-L.; Lau, T.-C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 10821. (g) Lam, W. W. Y.; Yiu, S.; Lee, J. M. N.; Yau, S. K. Y.; Kwong, H.-K.; Lau, T.-C.; Liu, D.; Lin, Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 2851. (h) Yiu, S.-M.; Wu, Z.-B.; Mak, C.-K.; Lau, T.-C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 14921.

(15) (a) Aldrich-Wright, J. R.; Vagg, R. S.; Williams, P. A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *166*, 361. (b) Knof, U.; von Zelewsky, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 302.

(16) CCDC-917216 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

(17) (a) Murphy, A.; Dubois, G.; Stack, T. D. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 5250. (b) Murphy, A.; Pace, A.; Stack, T. D. P. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 3119. (c) Murphy, A.; Stack, T. D. P. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2006**, *251*, 78. (d) Chen, M. S.; White, M. C. *Science* **2007**, *318*, 783.

(18) (a) Tano, T.; Sugimoto, H.; Fujieda, N.; Itoh, S. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *2012*, 4099. (b) Coggins, M. K.; Martin-Diaconescu, V.; DeBeer, S.; Kovacs, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 4260. (c) Hong, S.; Lee, Y.-M.; Cho, K.-B.; Seo, M. S.; Song, D.; Yoon, J.; Garcia-Serres, R.; Clémancey, M.; Ogura, T.; Shin, W.; Latour, J.-M.; Nam, W. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 156. (d) Ma, L.; Pan, Y.; Man, W.-L.; Kwong, H.-K.; Lam, W. W. Y.; Chen, G.; Lau, K.-C.; Lau, T.-C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 7680. (e) Nam, W.; Han, H. J.; Oh, S.-Y.; Lee, Y. J.; Choi, M.-H.; Han, S.-Y.; Kim, C.; Woo, S. K.; Shin, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 8677.

(19) (a) Seo, M. S.; In, J.-H.; Kim, S. O.; Oh, N. Y.; Hong, J.; Kim, J.; Que, L., Jr.; Nam, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2417.

(b) Meunier, B.; Bernadou, J. *Top. Catal.* **2002**, *21*, 47. (c) Lee, K. A.; Nam, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 1916. (d) Bernadou, J.; Fabiano, A.-S.; Robert, A.; Meunier, B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 9375. (e) Nam, W.; Valentine, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 1772.

(20) So, H.; Park, Y. J.; Cho, K.-B.; Lee, Y.-M.; Seo, M. S.; Cho, J.; Sarangi, R.; Nam, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 12229.

(21) Armarego, W. L. F.; Chai, C. L. L. *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, 6th ed; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 2009.

(22) Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 113.

(23) (a) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *96*, 2155. (b) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *97*, 9173. (c) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648. (d) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1988**, *37*, 785.

(24) (a) Schaefer, A.; Horn, H.; Ahlrichs, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *97*, 2571. (b) Schaefer, A.; Huber, C.; Ahlrichs, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *100*, 5829. (c) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297.

(25) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A. Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian 09*, revision D.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.